Sicket Scalping-The Constitutionality of the Law Doubted -Albert Wilson, the brother of Bylvester Wilson, "the Camden ticket scalper," whose case attracted considerable attention some time ago, was put on trial before Judge Ludlow yesterday, charged with unlawfully selling railroad tickets. Mr. Ker, opening the case, said that there is an act in of Assembly prohibiting a man from selling railroad tickets, &c., unless he is an agent of the railroad and is authorized, under the seal of the company, to sell them. The act was designed to protect immigrants and innocent travelers, as they are often imposed upon by men who sell them tickets, or partly used tickets, which have run out of date It would be shown that the defendant was engaged in the scalping business, and that the prosecution had been brought by the Pennsylvania itali-road Company in consequence of a number of complaints having been made against him. It was testified that the defendant had

Is was testified that the defondant had an office pertitioned off in a alloging in the violativy of the Pennyivesia Railroad depot, and their the railroad company had provined a mat so the the railroad company had provined a mat so to to Fithburg for H, Si les than the regular rate, in the shape of an employe's gase, which was marked 'not transferable.' The pass had originally been given to one of the earployee of the comporation who had come from ployee of the comporation who had come from the net the passing of the plant of the plant of the plant of had not secure work here se

After the evidence for the prosecution had closed a question arose as to the constitutionality of the act by which the indictment had been framed.

The judge said that as the ticket had not been presented for redeuption, but was result, the defendant was undoubtedly guilty under the provisions of the act of Assembly, but whether the law was constitutional or not he was not prepared to say.

Counsel for the defense concluded to enter a demurrer to the evidence, and take the case away from the jury, in order to test the question of law by argument.

This denotrate to like ordeness admits all task has been presented by the Commontask has been presented by the Commonthese facts the societation of guilty by research of the successful constraints of the fact of the constraints of the Common sector of the and notances the priority of the common life overhick to decumerre states in Jackson and solances the priority of the common tion of all mercely is in the societies of the demotre is overright of the prior that be demotre is overright of the societies of the brance of the societation of the brance force a four quote to facts

The maximum of purishment prescribed by the law under the act is a fine of \$500 and an upprisonment of one year or both. No time was fixed for argument upon the point of law related.